

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,604	03/22/2001	Elliott S. Klein	P-AR 4528	4120
23601 75	590 03/08/2004		EXAM	INER
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP 4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE		MURPHY, JOSEPH F		
7TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, (CA 92122		1646	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/814,604	KLEIN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Joseph F Murphy	1646
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE MAILING DATE OF TI - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or exte	HIS COMMUNICAT under the provisions of 37 ling date of this communicate is less than thirty (30) day ove, the maximum statutory ended period for reply will, but than three months after the	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on	n <u>08 December 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL .	,—	☐ This action is non-final.	
			ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance	with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are p	ending in the applic	cation.	
4a) Of the above clain	n(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are	allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are re	ejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are	•		
8) Claim(s) are su	ubject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is ob	jected to by the Ex	aminer.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed or	n is/are: a)[accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not reque	est that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sl	heet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration	n is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledament is m	ade of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c		grough proving arrable by bibliological	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		ments have been received.	
		ıments have been received in A	pplication No.
		e priority documents have been	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detaile	ed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.
Markey 44 S			
Attachment(s)			
	_802\	∧ □ 1=4== ;=	Summon (DTO 442)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO			Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Formal Matters

Claims 1-26 are pending and under consideration.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is entitled "Abstract of the Invention". Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.72 a brief abstract of the technical disclosure in the specification must commence on a separate sheet, preferably following the claims, under the heading "Abstract" or "Abstract of the Disclosure". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Response to Amendment

The rejection of claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for being vague and indefinite in the recitation of the phrase "test complex".

The rejection of claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DiRenzo et al. (1997) has been withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiRenzo et al. (1997) has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 2, 11 and 18 are vague and indefinite in the recitation of the term "activities". This term is not clearly defined in the claims. The specification also does not clearly define the term, but it seems to include, *inter alia*, an indirect signaling pathway activated by the nuclear hormone receptor, and on other nuclear hormone receptor mediated pathways (page 9, lines 5-20), and also a decrease in interaction with corepressors and an increase in interaction with coactivators (page 14, lines 10-20). Thus, since the term "activities" includes effects on indirect pathways not directly associated with nuclear hormone receptor function, the skilled artisan would not be apprised of the metes and bounds of the functional limitation with regard to the activities which are dissociated. Claims 3-10, 12-17, 19-26 are rejected insofar as they depend on the recitation of the term "activities" in claims 1, 2, 11, 18

Applicant argues that as set forth in the specification, nuclear receptor activities include the effects of hormone receptor mediated pathways indirectly activated by ligand as well as direct activation of transcription. The specification teaches, for example, that ligands that dissociate nuclear hormone receptor activities have selective indirect effects through nuclear hormone receptor-mediated pathways while failing to directly activate transcription through cognate response element (page 9, lines 2-13). The metes and bounds of this term

Art Unit: 1646

cannot be determined based upon the definition provided in the Specification because the activities ascribed to the nuclear hormone receptor are exemplary, and further there is no indication what the indirect effects would be.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13, 16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (1995).

The claims are drawn to methods of identifying agents which dissociate nuclear hormone "activities" the steps comprising addition of an agent to a test complex comprising a nuclear hormone receptor, a coactivator, a corepressor (claim 1), and/or the addition of a nuclear hormone response element (claim 2), and measuring the dissociation of an "activity" upon addition of the agent. The comparison to TTNPB is only necessary if the nuclear hormone is RAR. Chen et al. report the identification of a receptor-interacting factor, SMRT, as a silencing mediator (co-repressor) for retinoid and thyroid-hormone receptors. SMRT is a previously undiscovered protein whose association with receptors both in solution and bound to DNA-response elements is destabilized by ligand (Chen at 454, column 2, third paragraph). In the Chen reference, on page 456, Figure 3, a method is presented in which the interaction of SMRT with receptor-DNA complex in the presence of PAF, as coactivator (page 457, column 2 second paragraph). Claims 1-2, 16, 19 are anticipated because the method identifies an agent (ligand)

Art Unit: 1646

which dissociate nuclear hormone "activities" the steps comprising addition of an agent to a test complex comprising a nuclear hormone receptor (TR), a coactivator (PAF), a corepressor (SMRT), in the presence of a nuclear hormone response element (the oligo probe). Claims 3, 6-8 are anticipated because the reaction is carried out in vitro, in a reticulocyte lysate. Claims 11-13 are anticipated because the method is carried out with RXR-RAR or TR.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-16, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (1995) in view of Chen et al. (1996).

The claims are drawn to methods of identifying agents which dissociate nuclear hormone "activities" the steps comprising addition of an agent to a test complex comprising a nuclear hormone receptor, a coactivator, a corepressor (claim 1), and/or the addition of a nuclear

Art Unit: 1646

hormone response element (claim 2), and measuring the dissociation of an "activity" upon addition of the agent. The comparison to TTNPB is only necessary if the nuclear hormone is RAR. Chen et al. report the identification of a receptor-interacting factor, SMRT, as a silencing mediator (co-repressor) for retinoid and thyroid-hormone receptors. SMRT is a previously undiscovered protein whose association with receptors both in solution and bound to DNAresponse elements is destabilized by ligand (Chen at 454, column 2, third paragraph). In the Chen reference, on page 456, Figure 3, a method is presented in which the interaction of SMRT with receptor-DNA complex in the presence of PAF, as coactivator (page 457, column 2 second paragraph). Chen et al. does not teach the coactivator as being SRC-1. The Chen (1996) reference teach that the effect of hormone in nuclear receptor signaling is to relive silencing by inducing a dissociation of corepressor and to activate transcription by recruiting transcriptional coactivator(s) such as Trip1, RIP140, RIP160, TIF1 and SRC-1 (page 7570, column 2, second paragraph). The motivation is provided in the Chen (1996) reference that teaches that transcriptional silencing has been shown to play an important role in development, cell differentiation and cellular transformation (page 7570, second column, second paragraph).

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0877. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. A message

may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone

are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (571) 272-0871.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jóseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1646

February 26, 2004